

Rules for Reviewing

The editorial office conducts reviewing of all received author's manuscripts that comply with the profile and area of expertise of the edition with a purpose of its expert evaluation.

All reviewers are qualified experts on the topic of the reviewed materials and have published works on the topic of the article under review for the past three years.

The received manuscripts are subjected for reviewing by the editor-in-chief (deputy editor-in-chief) in order to check their compliance with the journal profile. If the manuscript complies with the subject area of the journal and contains the new relevant results it is sent to the reviewers (of the corresponding area of expertise) for the expert evaluation.

If there are notes in the review regarding the content of the article but the overall review is positive then the article is to be sent to the author for the follow-up revision and after that according to the editorial office's decision this article may be published or sent for the second review.

If the review of the article is overall negative it may be rejected or sent to the author for correction by the decision of the editorial office. After the editorial office receives the corrected article it sends it for the obligatory second review. If the articles receive two negative reviews in a row they are not to be published, the authors will receive the copy of the motivated rejection.

The original reviews are to be retained in the editorial office of the journal for five years. The editorial office sends the copy of reviews to the authors of the submitted materials.

The editorial office sends the copy of the reviews to the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation if it receives such inquiry.